

WILEY SAYS THAW WAS INSANE WHEN HE KILLED WHITE

Expert for the Defense Tries to Prove Crime Was Act of Lunatic.

INSANITY AS A DEFENSE

District Attorney Jerome Puts the Witness Through a Terrific Cross-Examination.

DEFENDANT DEFENDS ON WIFE

Mrs. William Thaw Will Also Lay Aside Her Pride and Testify to Her Husband's Hereditary Blood Taints in Her Son.

The first testimony in behalf of Harry K. Thaw, who is on trial in the criminal branch of the New York supreme court for the killing of Stanford White, was heard today, the initial witness being an insanity expert who was called to show that Thaw was insane at the time that he killed the architect. The witness was Charles J. Wylie, superintendent of the Hospital for the Insane at Pittsburg, Pa. Mr. Jerome had an interesting session with the witness. Dr. Wylie was unwilling at first to admit that he was an expert, said that he laid no claims to extraordinary knowledge, bowed in assent when asked if the members of his profession in Pittsburg considered him an expert in insanity, and finally said that he probably was an expert. Before he got through with his examination Mr. Jerome had asked him many things about his qualifications.

When Mr. Jerome took the witness in hand he went into the individual acts of Thaw immediately surrounding the shooting. Dr. Wylie declared to the district attorney that he based his conclusions as to Thaw's mental condition that night at the place where the killing was done on Thaw's manner before the shooting, on the way he acted after he shot Stanford White, and on Thaw's declaration: "He ruined my wife," made to Brudi, the fireman, and "I saved your life," made to his wife.

NEW YORK, February 5.—The defense of Harry K. Thaw, charged with the murder of Stanford White, was begun today when Dr. C. C. Wylie, an alienist from Pittsburg, took the stand and testified that in his opinion Thaw was insane the night of the Madison Square Roof Garden tragedy. He based this opinion on the man's actions on the roof garden and the fact that he had witnessed an act by Thaw in a Pittsburg street car which impressed him with the belief that the young man was not of sound mentality.

District Attorney Jerome put the witness through the most severe cross-examination ever heard in a New York court room. The prosecuting officer seemed to have every medical authority at his finger tips. The care with which he had prepared to meet Thaw's plea of insanity was evident in his every question, and at times the witness hesitated in his answers as if completely baffled. Dr. Wylie was still under fire when the luncheon recess was ordered.

"Are you nearly through?" inquired Justice Fitzgerald of the district attorney.

"No, indeed, your honor," replied Mr. Jerome. "I am just getting interested in the subject."

Forging the Links.
The defense began today to forge the links in the chain of circumstances which it is alleged disordered his brain and led to the mental explosion which claimed Stanford White as its victim. The evidence promised to be introduced to bear out the claim set forth in the opening address of Mr. Gleason, Thaw's attorney, to the jury yesterday afternoon has added interest to the trial, and there is an impression that Evelyn Thaw will tell a story the half of which has not heretofore been publicly known.

It is apparent that the defendant depends upon his wife to turn the tide for him. It will be her wrongs, if there were any, which will impress the jury. Thaw believes she will show that any man placed in the circumstances where he found himself might have lost his mental balance, and acted as he did. Upon the effect of her story, the prisoner's fate in large measure rests, though the picture of the white-haired, elderly mother taking the witness stand to save her son from a felon's death is expected to have a weight with the jury which only a man with a venerable mother can appreciate.

Crazy Years Ago.
It was alleged by Mr. Gleason yesterday

No. 16,935.

WASHINGTON, D. C., TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1907—SIXTEEN PAGES.

TWO CENTS.

afternoon that Thaw's insanity began to be apparent some three or four years ago. He met Evelyn Nesbit in 1901, so it is to be inferred that his mental disorders had their beginning subsequent to the meeting of the two young people and the attachment Thaw formed for the girl. In this way the defense hopes to build up the theory that Thaw lost his mental poise through worry over the wrongs he felt his wife had suffered at the hands of another. The attempt will be made to prove that Thaw's insanity was due to heredity as well as stress.

Mrs. William Thaw and Evelyn Nesbit Thaw both are expected to be early witnesses. It has been reported several times from Pittsburg that the elder Mrs. Thaw has been urged by persons of influence not to allow the plea of hereditary insanity to be entered in behalf of her son. The sacrifice of the social leader's pride to the mother's love is illustrated by the fact that not only did she consent to the plea of insanity through heredity, but will actually take the stand to offer whatever testimony is within her power to help make this defense insanity effective.

The Woman is Worried.
Evelyn Thaw has been growing paler day by day as the time approaches for her to take the witness stand. She will be at the mercy of a determined prosecutor who realizes the effect the story she will tell the jury may have, and who will leave nothing undone to upset it. Young Mrs. Thaw's life will be as an open book to District Attorney Jerome when he takes her in hand and begins to ply her with questions. His detectives have traced her life from early girlhood. She is now but twenty-three years of age, but has lived the life of three those years.

What young Mrs. Thaw has to tell the world when she takes the witness chair she has told to no one but her husband and his lawyers.

The promised interest of today's proceedings resulted in great pressure being brought to bear on the court officers for the privilege of entering the court room, but Justice Fitzgerald, who is presiding at the trial, has determined to adhere to his rule of excluding the public.

Thaw Misses Wife.
Experts on insanity and handwriting witnesses and jurors came to the Thaw trial today muffled to the eyes in great coats and furs. A severe snow storm, which began early last night, continued today with unabated fury.

The order for the exclusion of all witnesses, which on yesterday drove Mrs. Harry Thaw and Mrs. William Thaw from the court room, remained in force today, with the result that the second row of four chairs just back of the prisoners, which heretofore have been reserved for his family, had been turned over to those whose business gave them the privilege of attending the trial.

Josiah Thaw was the only member of the defendant's family in court as the morning session began.

Harry Thaw on entering seemed to miss the smile of welcome which each morning in the past his wife had bestowed upon him.

Dr. Wylie First Witness.
District Attorney Jerome was late in reaching court owing to the storm, and the opening of the session was delayed ten minutes.

Dr. C. C. Wylie of Pittsburg, the Thaw family physician and who is connected with the Dixmont Insane Asylum, was called as the first witness for the defense. Dr. Wylie, in response to a question by John B. Gleason of Thaw's counsel, said he devoted much of his life to a study of insanity, and that he had served as an expert in a large number of cases.

Quarrelled With Conductor.
Dr. Wylie was asked to state his recollection of an incident in 1905 in which Harry K. Thaw was concerned.

"In the summer of 1905," said the witness, "I was a passenger on a street car of the 5th avenue line in Pittsburg when Harry Thaw came in. Without any apparent reason Thaw rushed for one of the blinds to a window, drew up the blind, slammed it down again and then drew it up once more. He had a quarrel with the conductor."

"What was Thaw's manner?"

"It was defiant, vague, and his eyes flashed from right to left in this way."

Dr. Wylie illustrated with his eyes.

As an expert and from your personal observation can you say whether his actions were rational or irrational?"

"Irrational."

Mr. Gleason here formed a hypothetical question in which he outlined Thaw's act of killing Stanford White on the Madison Square Roof Garden, and coupled with it the interrogation as to whether the witness could express an opinion of such an act as committed by the person he saw in the Pittsburg street car.

The hypothetical question in full was as follows:

"Assuming, sir, that the man that you saw in the street car last summer was proved to you, as an expert, to have attended a roof garden on the 25th day of June, 1906, on the occasion of the opening of a theatrical entertainment, which was largely attended, and that on walking out from the theater, with his wife near by him, and apparently in a quiet and orderly manner, that man should turn aside and fire three shots from a revolver into a man who was sitting at the table and to whom he did not speak; that this man then held the pistol above his head and walked quietly to the elevator; that he gave up the pistol without resistance and did not make any attempt to escape, and that he said: 'He ruined my wife,' and that immediately thereafter he said to his wife: 'I have probably saved your life; I ask you, sir, upon your judgment, as an expert, whether you are able to give an opinion touching upon the sanity of the man who made that answer?'"

"I can," said Dr. Wylie.

"Will you express that opinion?"

"I believe that that man—"

District Attorney Jerome objected.

"You must state a belief," said Mr. Jerome.

"That is not evidence. You must give an opinion."

"My opinion," said Dr. Wylie, "is that the man who committed the act described was suffering from insanity."

The witness was asked to define hereditary insanity, which he did, but when a question by Mr. Gleason as to the influence of heredity, insanity was asked it was objected to by Mr. Jerome, and the court sustained the objection.

The defense had no further questions, and the witness was turned over to Mr. Jerome for cross-examination.

Dr. Wylie said that in hereditary insanity the common blood would flow through brothers and therefore a cousin, the son of an uncle of the defendant, might follow the same hereditary influence.

"Are you acquainted as you sit there with (Continued on Seventh Page.)"



WISE AT LAST.

AGAIN ON THE STAND

Former Sergeant Harris Questioned by Senators.

SHOOTING AT BROWNSVILLE

Investigation Resumed at the Capitol.

TWENTY WITNESSES WAITING

Mr. Foraker Proposes to Examine Officers and Men on Guard Duty on the Night of August 13.

The hearing by the Senate committee on military affairs on the alleged "shooting up" of Brownsville, Texas, by members of the 25th Infantry, was resumed promptly at 10:30 o'clock this morning, when former Sgt. Israel Harris was again placed on the stand, and was cross-examined by senators on his testimony of yesterday. A large map of the Fort Brown barracks and of the town had been placed upon the walls of the committee room, and was carefully studied by the senators before the business of the day began. The witnesses, whose numbers had grown to twenty, were again corralled in one of the corridors of the Senate, and only one of them allowed to enter the committee room at a time. Some of them have come from as far away as Texas and Oklahoma.

The hearing was begun by Senator Warner's asking the witness in respect to his testimony of yesterday.

Early Morning Roll Call.

He explained more fully that the time for the drill on the morning of August 14 was the usual time for inspection and that on that morning the captain and sergeant counted the men in addition to having them counted off by fours, and the announcement was made that all were present or accounted for. They expected to drill, but they were marched to the wall of the barracks and ranged themselves in line. The men in Company D, who were in the barracks, were expected to drill, but they were marched to the wall of the barracks and ranged themselves in line. The men in Company D, who were in the barracks, were expected to drill, but they were marched to the wall of the barracks and ranged themselves in line.

Senator Lodge announced that he had sent to the United States observatory and learned that on the morning of August 14 the sun rose at 5:37 o'clock, so that it must have been about 5:45 on that morning when the men were assembled for drill, according to the statement of the witness.

In reply to a question by Senator Foraker, Sgt. Harris said he had never had any trouble in any northern state. He had never been court-martialed.

Didn't Hear Springfield Rides.

Sentinel Foraker: "Can you tell what kind of arms are used from the report?"

The witness: "From the reports there were mixed arms."

Sentinel Foraker: "Any Springfield rifle?"

The witness: "I didn't hear any report of a Springfield rifle. The reports sounded like six-shooters."

He said that when his company left Brownsville he was marched to the station, and the orders were to keep their eyes to the front. He couldn't look around, but managed to see men standing on the corners of streets with shotguns and Winchester rifles.

Sentinel Foraker: "It has been charged that there was a conspiracy of silence among the men, and that they would not talk about this matter. What do you say about that?"

The witness: "I know nothing about such an agreement."

"Did you have any hesitation in talking about it?"

"I had but very little to say about the matter. I have talked to a number of people of these same things, but not quite as much as I have said here."

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By Senator Warner: "Why did you pay particular attention to hear what kind of arms were being fired?"

By Senator Foraker: "Do you swear you can distinguish a report of a Winchester rifle?"

The witness: "That is how it sounded to me. They have a sort of bell sound; they do not sound like a Springfield."

By